

# Estimating the lifetime risk of a false positive screening test result

Tim White and Sara Algeri

University of Minnesota - Twin Cities

JSM, August 2022

# Background

# Screening outcomes and consequences

	Has disease	Does not have disease
Positive result	<b>True positive (TP)</b>	<b>False positive (FP)</b>
Negative result	<b>False negative (FN)</b>	<b>True negative (TN)</b>

- Potential consequences of false positives:
  - 1 Stress and anxiety<sup>1-5</sup>
  - 2 Lower compliance with future screenings<sup>6-7</sup>
  - 3 Unnecessary follow-up procedures<sup>8</sup>

# Scope of false positive risk

- For an uninfected individual, what is the probability of receiving. . .
  - a false positive on **one screening occasion** for a **particular** disease?
  - at least one false positive in a **lifetime** for a **particular** disease?
  - at least one false positive in a **lifetime** for **any** disease?

## Existing literature

- For an uninfected individual, what is the probability of receiving. . .
  - a false positive on **one screening occasion** for a **particular** disease?

Disease	Screening procedure	Estimate (SE)
Breast cancer	Mammogram	4.9% (0.1%)
Cervical cancer	Pap test	5.0% (0.1%)
Colorectal cancer	Colonoscopy	11.3% (1.3%)
Lung cancer	Low-dose CT scan	20.7% (0.1%)
Prostate cancer	PSA test	10.2% (0.3%)
Chlamydia	NAAT	0.5% (<0.1%)
Gonorrhea	NAAT	0.2% (<0.1%)
Hepatitis B	HBsAg test	2.0% (0.1%)
Hepatitis C	Anti-HCV antibody test	1.0% (0.2%)
HIV	Antigen/antibody test	0.2% (<0.1%)
Syphilis	RPR test	0.3% (<0.1%)

# Existing literature

- For an uninfected individual, what is the probability of receiving. . .
  - at least one false positive in a **lifetime** for a **particular** disease?
    - Estimates range from 49% to 61% for 10 mammograms<sup>9-10</sup>
    - 33% for 2 low-dose CT scans<sup>11</sup>
  - at least one false positive in a **lifetime** for **any** disease?
    - 60% for men and 49% for women after 14 screening occasions for prostate, lung, colorectal, and ovarian cancer<sup>12-13</sup>
    - More comprehensive estimates: ???

## Guiding questions

- 1 **How do we estimate the probability that an individual will receive at least one false positive result when they get screened repeatedly for multiple diseases throughout their lifetime?**
- 2 What *is* this lifetime probability for individuals who follow the recommended screening guidelines of the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF)?
- 3 To what extent does this lifetime probability vary according to individuals' demographic and behavioral characteristics?

## Guiding questions

- 1 How do we estimate the probability that an individual will receive at least one false positive result when they get screened repeatedly for multiple diseases throughout their lifetime?
- 2 **What *is* this lifetime probability for individuals who follow the recommended screening guidelines of the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF)?**
- 3 To what extent does this lifetime probability vary according to individuals' demographic and behavioral characteristics?



## Guiding questions

- 1 How do we estimate the probability that an individual will receive at least one false positive result when they get screened repeatedly for multiple diseases throughout their lifetime?
- 2 What *is* this lifetime probability for individuals who follow the recommended screening guidelines of the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF)?
- 3 **To what extent does this lifetime probability vary according to individuals' demographic and behavioral characteristics?**

# Methodology

# Diseases and screening procedures

- Inclusion criteria:
  - 1 Cancer<sup>14</sup> or STD<sup>15</sup>
  - 2 USPSTF grade of C or higher<sup>16</sup>

Disease	Screening procedure
Breast cancer	Mammogram
Cervical cancer	Pap test
Colorectal cancer	Colonoscopy
Lung cancer	Low-dose CT scan
Prostate cancer	PSA test
Chlamydia	NAAT
Gonorrhea	NAAT
Hepatitis B	HBsAg test
Hepatitis C	Anti-HCV antibody test
HIV	Antigen/antibody test
Syphilis	RPR test

## Data

## + The False Positives Calculator



Search

Disease ↓	Screening test ↓	Study ID ↓	TP ↓	FN ↓	TN ↓	FP ↓	N ↓	Sensitivity ↓	Specificity ↓	PPV ↓	NPV ↓
Breast cancer	Mammography	Canadian	226	76	41575	2841	44718	74.8%	93.6%	7.4%	99.8%
Breast cancer	Mammography	Malmö	118	10	15088	418	15634	92.2%	97.3%	22.0%	99.9%
Breast cancer	Mammography	Stockholm	128	21	30900	1525	32574	85.9%	95.3%	7.7%	99.9%
Breast cancer	Mammography	Swedish two-county	413	20	65311	3026	68770	95.4%	95.6%	12.0%	100.0%
Cervical cancer	Pap	ARTISTIC round 1	133	0	5338	653	6124	100.0%	89.1%	16.9%	100.0%
Cervical cancer	Pap	ARTISTIC round 2	34	1	3656	176	3867	97.1%	95.4%	16.2%	100.0%
Cervical cancer	Pap	FINNISH	267	52	61241	4239	65799	83.7%	93.5%	5.9%	99.9%
Cervical cancer	Pap	NTCC phase I	84	0	21201	771	22056	100.0%	96.5%	9.8%	100.0%
Cervical cancer	Pap	NTCC phase II	55	0	23268	770	24093	100.0%	96.8%	6.7%	100.0%
Cervical cancer	Pap	POBASCAM round 1	193	22	19400	513	20128	89.8%	97.4%	27.3%	99.9%
Cervical cancer	Pap	POBASCAM round 2	162	22	8838	612	9634	88.0%	93.5%	20.9%	99.8%
Cervical cancer	Pap	SWEDESCREEN	78	41	6120	72	6311	65.5%	98.8%	52.0%	99.3%
Chlamydia	NAAT (chlamydia)	Schacter 2003	106	13	1262	10	1391	89.1%	99.2%	91.4%	99.0%
Chlamydia	NAAT (chlamydia)	Taylor 2011	52	4	389	4	449	92.9%	99.0%	92.9%	99.0%
Chlamydia	NAAT (chlamydia)	Van Der Pol 2012a	101	3	2173	12	2289	97.1%	99.5%	89.4%	99.9%
Chlamydia	NAAT (chlamydia)	Schoeman 2012	163	20	2050	0	2233	89.1%	100.0%	100.0%	99.0%
Chlamydia	NAAT (chlamydia)	Van Der Pol 2012a	94	11	2163	1	2269	89.5%	100.0%	98.9%	99.5%
Chlamydia	NAAT (chlamydia)	Schacter 2003	68	7	503	3	581	90.7%	99.4%	95.8%	98.6%
Chlamydia	NAAT (chlamydia)	Shrier 2004	14	13	99	0	126	51.9%	100.0%	100.0%	88.4%
Chlamydia	NAAT (chlamydia)	Van Der Pol 2012a	102	4	2155	7	2268	96.2%	99.7%	93.6%	99.8%

# Subpopulations and screening intervals

- 6 female subpopulations
  - Anticipated number of pregnancies (*0/1/2*)
  - History of smoking (*yes/no*)
- 8 male subpopulations
  - Has sex with men (*yes/no*)
  - History of smoking (*yes/no*)
  - Intend to get screened for prostate cancer (*yes/no*)
- Lifetime number of screening occasions based on USPSTF guidelines
  - e.g., Colonoscopy every 10 years between ages 45 and 75  
⇒ 4 lifetime screening occasions

# Model

## Step 1 of 3

- Goal:
  - Model the probability that an individual will receive a false positive on **one screening occasion** for a **particular** disease  $d$
- Assumptions:
  - Individual is not infected with disease  $d$  on each screening occasion

$$\hat{p}_d = \frac{\# \text{ of FP in all studies for disease } d}{\# \text{ of FP and TN in all studies for disease } d}$$

# Model

## Step 2 of 3

- Goal:
  - Model the probability that an individual in subpopulation  $i$  will receive at least one false positive in a **lifetime** for a **particular** disease  $d$
- Assumptions:
  - Individual gets screened the recommended number of times  $T_{id}$  with the primary screening procedure for disease  $d$
  - Results for one screening occasion are independent from results for other screening occasions

$$\hat{P}_{id} = 1 - (1 - \hat{p}_d)^{T_{id}}$$

# Model

## Step 3 of 3

- Goal:
  - Model the probability that an individual in subpopulation  $i$  will receive at least one false positive in a **lifetime** for **any** disease in some set  $\mathcal{D}_i$
- Assumptions:
  - Screening results for one disease are independent from screening results for other diseases

$$\hat{p}_i = 1 - \prod_{d \in \mathcal{D}_i} (1 - \hat{P}_{id}) = 1 - \prod_{d \in \mathcal{D}_i} (1 - \hat{p}_d)^{T_{id}}$$



# Accounting for uncertainty

- Assume that each study  $s$  can be modeled by a **multinomial random variable**  $Z_s \sim \text{Multinomial}(N_s, \hat{p}_{FP,s}, \hat{p}_{TN,s}, \hat{p}_{+,s})$ , where:
  - $N_s$  = sample size of study  $s$
  - $\hat{p}_{FP,s}; \hat{p}_{TN,s}; \hat{p}_{+,s}$  = proportion of observations in study  $s$  that correspond to each screening outcome (FP; TN; TP or FN)

# Accounting for uncertainty

- Assume that each study  $s$  can be modeled by a **multinomial random variable**  $Z_s \sim \text{Multinomial}(N_s, \hat{p}_{FP,s}, \hat{p}_{TN,s}, \hat{p}_{+,s})$ , where:
  - $N_s$  = sample size of study  $s$
  - $\hat{p}_{FP,s}; \hat{p}_{TN,s}; \hat{p}_{+,s}$  = proportion of observations in study  $s$  that correspond to each screening outcome (FP; TN; TP or FN)
- Employ the **parametric bootstrap**
  - Draw from multinomial distribution to simulate results of each study
  - Use simulated data to compute one realization of  $\hat{p}_i$
  - Repeat 9,999 times to obtain  $B = 10,000$  realizations of  $\hat{p}_i$

# Accounting for uncertainty

- Assume that each study  $s$  can be modeled by a **multinomial random variable**  $Z_s \sim \text{Multinomial}(N_s, \hat{p}_{FP,s}, \hat{p}_{TN,s}, \hat{p}_{+,s})$ , where:
  - $N_s$  = sample size of study  $s$
  - $\hat{p}_{FP,s}; \hat{p}_{TN,s}; \hat{p}_{+,s}$  = proportion of observations in study  $s$  that correspond to each screening outcome (FP; TN; TP or FN)
- Employ the **parametric bootstrap**
  - Draw from multinomial distribution to simulate results of each study
  - Use simulated data to compute one realization of  $\hat{p}_i$
  - Repeat 9,999 times to obtain  $B = 10,000$  realizations of  $\hat{p}_i$
- Compute the **standard error** of  $\hat{p}_i$ , given by

$$SE(\hat{p}_i) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{B-1} \sum_{b=1}^B (\hat{p}_i^{(b)} - \bar{\hat{p}}_i)^2}, \quad \text{where } \bar{\hat{p}}_i = \frac{1}{B} \sum_{b=1}^B \hat{p}_i^{(b)}$$

# Results

# Female subpopulations

## Estimated lifetime false positive probability by subpopulation

Subpopulation	Estimate (SE)
<b>Baseline females</b>	<b>85.5% (0.9%)</b>
<b>Females, one pregnancy</b>	<b>86.0% (0.8%)</b>
<b>Females, two pregnancies</b>	<b>86.5% (0.8%)</b>
<b>Female smokers</b>	<b>88.5% (0.7%)</b>
<b>Female smokers, one pregnancy</b>	<b>88.9% (0.7%)</b>
<b>Female smokers, two pregnancies</b>	<b>89.3% (0.6%)</b>
Baseline males	38.9% (3.6%)
Men who have sex with men (MSM)	43.1% (3.4%)
Male smokers	51.5% (2.9%)
MSM smokers	54.9% (2.7%)
Males, routine prostate exams	74.2% (1.7%)
MSM, routine prostate exams	76.0% (1.6%)
Male smokers, routine prostate exams	79.6% (1.3%)
MSM smokers, routine prostate exams	81.0% (1.2%)

# Male subpopulations

## Estimated lifetime false positive probability by subpopulation

Subpopulation	Estimate (SE)
Baseline females	85.5% (0.9%)
Females, one pregnancy	86.0% (0.8%)
Females, two pregnancies	86.5% (0.8%)
Female smokers	88.5% (0.7%)
Female smokers, one pregnancy	88.9% (0.7%)
Female smokers, two pregnancies	89.3% (0.6%)
<b>Baseline males</b>	<b>38.9% (3.6%)</b>
<b>Men who have sex with men (MSM)</b>	<b>43.1% (3.4%)</b>
<b>Male smokers</b>	<b>51.5% (2.9%)</b>
<b>MSM smokers</b>	<b>54.9% (2.7%)</b>
<b>Males, routine prostate exams</b>	<b>74.2% (1.7%)</b>
<b>MSM, routine prostate exams</b>	<b>76.0% (1.6%)</b>
<b>Male smokers, routine prostate exams</b>	<b>79.6% (1.3%)</b>
<b>MSM smokers, routine prostate exams</b>	<b>81.0% (1.2%)</b>

# Discussion

## Answers to guiding questions

- ① How do we estimate the probability that an individual will receive at least one false positive result when they get screened repeatedly for multiple diseases throughout their lifetime?
  -
- ② What *is* this lifetime probability for individuals who follow the recommended screening guidelines of the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF)?
  -
- ③ To what extent does this lifetime probability vary according to individuals' demographic and behavioral characteristics?
  -



## Answers to guiding questions

- How do we estimate the probability that an individual will receive at least one false positive result when they get screened repeatedly for multiple diseases throughout their lifetime?

$$\hat{p}_i = 1 - \prod_{d \in \mathcal{D}_i} (1 - \hat{P}_{id}) = 1 - \prod_{d \in \mathcal{D}_i} (1 - \hat{p}_d)^{T_{id}}$$

- What *is* this lifetime probability for individuals who follow the recommended screening guidelines of the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF)?
  -
- To what extent does this lifetime probability vary according to individuals' demographic and behavioral characteristics?
  -

## Answers to guiding questions

- ① How do we estimate the probability that an individual will receive at least one false positive result when they get screened repeatedly for multiple diseases throughout their lifetime?

$$\hat{p}_i = 1 - \prod_{d \in \mathcal{D}_i} (1 - \hat{P}_{id}) = 1 - \prod_{d \in \mathcal{D}_i} (1 - \hat{p}_d)^{T_{id}}$$

- ② What *is* this lifetime probability for individuals who follow the recommended screening guidelines of the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF)?
- **At least 85% for females, 38% for males**
- ③ To what extent does this lifetime probability vary according to individuals' demographic and behavioral characteristics?

-

## Answers to guiding questions

- 1 How do we estimate the probability that an individual will receive at least one false positive result when they get screened repeatedly for multiple diseases throughout their lifetime?

$$\hat{p}_i = 1 - \prod_{d \in \mathcal{D}_i} (1 - \hat{P}_{id}) = 1 - \prod_{d \in \mathcal{D}_i} (1 - \hat{p}_d)^{T_{id}}$$

- 2 What *is* this lifetime probability for individuals who follow the recommended screening guidelines of the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF)?
- **At least 85% for females, 38% for males**
- 3 To what extent does this lifetime probability vary according to individuals' demographic and behavioral characteristics?
- **Substantial variation among males (38%-81%)**
  - **Little variation among females (85%-89%)**

# Limitations

- 1 **Estimated probabilities are only valid for uninfected individuals**
- 2 Assumption of perfect adherence to USPSTF screening guidelines may not hold in practice<sup>17-19</sup>
- 3 Considered only the primary screening procedure for each disease
- 4 Difficult to determine lifetime number of STD screening occasions since STD guidelines are highly individualized

# Limitations

- 1 Estimated probabilities are only valid for uninfected individuals
- 2 **Assumption of perfect adherence to USPSTF screening guidelines may not hold in practice<sup>17-19</sup>**
- 3 Considered only the primary screening procedure for each disease
- 4 Difficult to determine lifetime number of STD screening occasions since STD guidelines are highly individualized

# Limitations

- 1 Estimated probabilities are only valid for uninfected individuals
- 2 Assumption of perfect adherence to USPSTF screening guidelines may not hold in practice<sup>17-19</sup>
- 3 **Considered only the primary screening procedure for each disease**
- 4 Difficult to determine lifetime number of STD screening occasions since STD guidelines are highly individualized

# Limitations

- 1 Estimated probabilities are only valid for uninfected individuals
- 2 Assumption of perfect adherence to USPSTF screening guidelines may not hold in practice<sup>17-19</sup>
- 3 Considered only the primary screening procedure for each disease
- 4 **Difficult to determine lifetime number of STD screening occasions since STD guidelines are highly individualized**

# Summarizing our contribution

## What:

- Novel framework for quantifying the lifetime risk of a false positive
  - Incorporates multiple diseases and demographic characteristics
  - Easy to update as more data become available

## Why:

- Improve patients' perspective on screening technology
- Facilitate transparent communication by healthcare providers

## How:

- Manuscript available on [arXiv](#)
- [R Shiny dashboard](#)



# References & Links

# References

- 1 Fowler FJ Jr, Barry MJ, Walker-Corkery B, Caubet J-F, Bates DW, Lee JM, et al. The impact of a suspicious prostate biopsy on patients' psychological, socio-behavioral, and medical care outcomes. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2006; 21: 715-721.
- 2 Katz AR, Effler PV, Ohye RG, Brouillet B, Lee MVC, Whiticar PM. False-positive gonorrhea test results with a nucleic acid amplification test: the impact of low prevalence on positive predictive value. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2004; 38: 814-819.
- 3 Salz T, Gottlieb SL, Smith JS, Brewer NT. The association between cervical abnormalities and attitudes toward cervical cancer prevention. *J Womens Health (Larchmt).* 2010; 19: 2011-2016.
- 4 Shanks L, Klarkowski D, O'Brien DP. False positive HIV diagnoses in resource limited settings: operational lessons learned for HIV programmes. *PLoS One.* 2013; 8: e59906.
- 5 Toft EL, Kaae SE, Malmqvist J, Brodersen J. Psychosocial consequences of receiving false-positive colorectal cancer screening results: a qualitative study. *Scand J Prim Health Care.* 2019; 37: 145-154.
- 6 Bond M, Pavey T, Welch K, Cooper C, Garside R, Dean S, et al. Systematic review of the psychological consequences of false-positive screening mammograms. *Health Technol Assess.* 2013; 17: 1-170, v-vi.
- 7 Ford ME, Havstad SL, Flickinger L, Johnson CC. Examining the effects of false positive lung cancer screening results on subsequent lung cancer screening adherence. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2003; 12: 28-33.
- 8 Lidbrink E, Elfving J, Frisell J, Jonsson E. Neglected aspects of false positive findings of mammography in breast cancer screening: analysis of false positive cases from the Stockholm trial. *BMJ.* 1996; 312: 273-276.
- 9 Hubbard RA, Kerlikowske K, Flowers CI, Yankaskas BC, Zhu W, Miglioretti DL. Cumulative probability of false-positive recall or biopsy recommendation after 10 years of screening mammography: a cohort study. *Ann Intern Med.* 2011; 155: 481-492.
- 10 Elmore JG, Barton MB, Mocerri VM, Polk S, Arena PJ, Fletcher SW. Ten-year risk of false positive screening mammograms and clinical breast examinations. *N Engl J Med.* 1998; 338: 1089-1096.

# References

- 11 Croswell JM, Baker SG, Marcus PM, Clapp JD, Kramer BS. Cumulative incidence of false-positive test results in lung cancer screening: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med.* 2010; 152: 505-512.
- 12 Baker SG, Kramer BS. Estimating the cumulative risk of a false-positive under a regimen involving various types of cancer screening tests. *J Med Screen.* 2008; 15: 18-22.
- 13 Croswell JM, Kramer BS, Kreimer AR, Prorok PC, Xu J-L, Baker SG, et al. Cumulative incidence of false-positive results in repeated, multimodal cancer screening. *Ann Fam Med.* 2009; 7: 212-222.
- 14 National Cancer Institute. Cancer Types. [cited 15 Jun 2022]. Available from: <https://www.cancer.gov/types>
- 15 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Diseases & Related Conditions. [cited 15 Jun 2022]. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/std/general/default.htm>
- 16 U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Home Page. [cited 15 Jun 2022]. Available from: <https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/uspstf/>
- 17 Corbelli J, Borrero S, Bonnema R, McNamara M, Kraemer K, Rubio D, et al. Physician adherence to U.S. Preventive Services Task Force mammography guidelines. *Womens Health Issues.* 2014; 24: e313-e319.
- 18 Nelson W, Moser RP, Gaffey A, Waldron W. Adherence to cervical cancer screening guidelines for U.S. women aged 25-64: data from the 2005 Health Information National Trends Survey (HINTS). *J Womens Health (Larchmt).* 2009; 18: 1759-1768.
- 19 Cyhaniuk A, Coombes ME. Longitudinal adherence to colorectal cancer screening guidelines. *Am J Manag Care.* 2016; 22: 105-111.

# Links



[https://linktr.ee/timwhite\\_jsm2022](https://linktr.ee/timwhite_jsm2022)